

# CHECKLIST

## 1. INTEGRITY OF PLANNING AND DECISION-MAKING

---

	Which are the principle objectives of the practice?	Which other objectives are considered in the scope of the practice?
<b>GOOD ECOLOGICAL STATUS OF WATERS</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Improvement of ecological status	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Restoration and renaturation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Improvement of hydro-morphology	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ensuring ecologically acceptable flow	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Habitat and biodiversity	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>WATER USE AND RIPARIAN LAND RELATED DEVELOPMENT</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Water supply	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Hydro energy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Irrigation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Fish farming and fisheries	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sports and recreation activities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Technological water extraction	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Navigation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>WATER RELATED RISK MITIGATION</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Floods	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Erosion	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Draught	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

## 2. STAKEHOLDERS AND PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

---

Which groups of stakeholders are engaged in the participative process in order to actively participate in the planning of solutions?

Policy and strategy planners at the national, regional and local levels

Experts/scientists/researchers

Interest groups (economy, agriculture, tourism, nature protection)

Non-governmental organisations

General public/citizens

## What is the flow of information and the influence of the public on the final decisions under the participative process?

- INFORMING**      The public is furnished with balanced and objective information that are of assistance in understanding the problem, the possible solutions, the opportunities and/or solutions.
- CONSULTING**      Obtaining feedback information on the applied analyses, selected solution scenarios and/or final decisions on the part of the public.
- ENGAGEMENT**      Direct cooperation with the public throughout the decision-making process to ensure examination and consideration of any concerns on the part of the public.
- COOPERATION**      Partnership with the public, standing for cooperation throughout all the phases of the decision-making process, including the cooperation in the development of solution scenarios and selection of the final solution.
- EMPOWERMENT**      Adoption of final decisions concerning water management is entirely in the hands of the general public/citizens.

### 3. DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEM AND TOOLS

---

- Are the data and methods applied in the scope of the practice accessible to the public, properly presented and described?
- Is there a contact point / contact person provided, to offer additional information on the practice?
- Does the practice, in its measure planning segment, envisage the development and valuation of several scenarios of potential measures and solutions to achieve the set objectives?
- Does the analysis and evaluation of the scenarios of measures apply analytical tools to verify their efficiency (such as cost efficiency analysis (CEA), cost and benefit analysis (CBA) or multi-criterion analysis (MCA))?
- Does the scenario analysis and evaluation phase also incorporate the concept of ecosystem services (e.g. green infrastructure)?

### 4. FINANCIAL INTEGRITY

---

- Is the practice financed from all the three water management pillars (improving ecological quality, water and water space uses, mitigation of harmful effects of waters)?
- Have follow-up measures been agreed and recognised as efficient instruments to achieve the set objectives?
- Is there a plan for financing the planned and approved measures in place?
- Are the financial sources for the implementation of the agreed and confirmed measures allocated?

### 5. MONITORING AND IMPROVEMENTS

---

- Is there in place a plan of monitoring in order to supervise and evaluate the decision-making processes, participation, transparency etc. throughout the practice lifecycle?
- Have there been, in the course of the implementation of the practice, any adoptions and confirmations of any initiatives for amendments as a result of efficient and effective monitoring? (in the case of practices already implemented)
- Has monitoring contributed to the efficiency of the implementation of the practice and its positive acceptance? (in the case of practices already implemented)